

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,845 07/08/2003		Tapesh Yadav	A20 DIVI (A14CON3)	9184		
25235	7590	12/22/2005		EXAMINER		
HOGAN &			KALAFUT, STEPHEN J			
ONE TABO		ER, SUITE 1500 H ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DENVER,	CO 8020	02	1745			
				DATE MAILED: 12/22/200	DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				٧ŀ					
_		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		10/614,845	YADAV ET AL.						
		Examiner	Art Unit	_					
		Stephen J. Kalafut	1745						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Extensions after \$ - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 O	ctober 2005.							
•—	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the application. Ia) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.							
Application	on Papers								
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)							
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Art Unit: 1745

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-10, 14-25, 29-55, 59 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pirzada et al. (US 5,788,738), for reasons of record.

Claims 11-13, 26-28 and 56-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pirzada et al. (US 5,788,738), for reasons of record.

Applicant's arguments filed 13 October 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the present application is a child of Pirzada *et al.*, and that Pirzada *et al.* has been incorporated by reference in all of the intervening cases therebetween, and that the present application is thus entitled to the same filing date as Pirzada *et al.* This is not persuasive because the inventive entities of the present application and Pirzada *et al.* are different. Thus, Pirzada *et al.* still constitutes prior art "by another" within the meaning of §102(e). While incorporation by reference serves to render the disclosure of the intervening applications inclusive of the subject matter of Pirzada *et al.*, incorporation by reference does not entitle one inventive entity to the earlier filing date of another. See MPEP 2136.04 and *Ex parte DesOrmeaux*, 25 USPQ2d 2040 (BPAI 1992).

Application/Control Number: 10/614,845 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

Applicant's request filed on 13 October 2005 for deferral of examination under 37 CFR 1.103(d) in the application is denied as being improper. Applicant's "contemplating internal restructuring" is not seen as constituting a "good and sufficient cause" for a suspension.

See MPEP § 709.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,845 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sjk

STEPHEN KALAFUT PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP

GROUP /